arXiv:1611.04569v2 [gr-qc] 28 Nov 2016

Szekeres models: a covariant approach

Pantelis S. Apostolopoulos[1]

[1]Technological Educational Institute of Ionian Islands, Department of Enviromental Technology, Panagoula 29100, Island of Zakynthos, Greece

E-mail: papost@phys.uoa.gr

PACS: 04.20.-q, 4.20.Nr, 98.80.Jk

Abstract. We exploit the 1+1+2 formalism to covariantly describe the inhomogeneous and anisotropic Szekeres models. It is shown that an *average scale length* can be defined *covariantly* which satisfies a 2d equation of motion driven from the *effective gravitational mass* (EGM) contained in the dust cloud. The contributions to the EGM are encoded to the energy density of the dust fluid and the free gravitational field E_{ab}. We show that the quasi-symmetric property of the Szekeres models is justified through the existence of 3 independent *Intrinsic Killing Vector Fields (IKVFs)*. In addition the notions of the Apparent and Absolute Apparent Horizons are briefly discussed and we give an alternative gauge-invariant form to define them in terms of the kinematical variables of the spacelike congruences. We argue that the proposed program can be used in order to express Sachs' optical equations in a covariant form and analyze the confrontation of a spatially inhomogeneous irrotational overdense fluid model with the observational data.

1. Introduction

The discovery of the accelerated phase of the Universe [1] and the detailed chartography of the temperature anisotropies in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) [2, 3, 4] emerge the fact that additional degrees of freedom are necessary in order to fit the observational data to the underlying geometry. The current observational "strategy" states that the Universe is "almost" homogeneous and isotropic at very large scales (~ 100 Mpc) which imposes the highly symmetric Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) geometry as the standard cosmological model. Within the FLRW, the accelerated expansion is usually interpreted by introducing a $\Lambda-$term dark sector which affect the cosmological evolution whereas the small temperature fluctuations occur due to the presence of local inhomogeneities and are described as first order perturbations of the background model.

However one can then argue that the presence, the form and the evolution of small (local) density and expansion inhomogeneities and anisotropies seeded the formation in the Universe at small or medium scales (< 10 Mpc), influence the travel of light rays causing various effects like focusing, lensing thus giving an alternative explanation of the SN data out of the ΛCDM scheme. Therefore one must take into account exact inhomogeneous models which can be seen as "perturbations" in a FLRW background. In this respect there is an increased interest of using *inhomogeneous* configurations within the standard cosmological paradigm like the Lemaitre-Tolman (LT) model or the Szekeres models which represent an immediate generalisation of the former (see [5] and papers cited therein). Both can be matched to the FLRW at large scales and it has been shown that they confront with the observational data without the need of a cosmological constant, due to the rich variety of the matter density profiles accomodating these models.

At first glance, Szekeres solution [6, 7] (or its generalization to include a non-zero cosmological constant [8]) seems to be general enough within the class of inhomogeneous models due to the non-existence of isometries i.e. Killing Vector Fields (KVFs) [9, 10] or, as far as we know, any other kind of symmetry. Nevertheless these models exhibit various special features which make them more tractable than expected [11]. In this paper we provide a covariant way to reproduce the key ingredients and describe, in a gauge-invariant form, the family of Szekeres models by using the well established theory of spacelike congruences. In fact the 1+1+2 splitting technique is the most appropriate tool for analyzing the Szekeres models due to the decouple of the spatial divergence and curl equations from the evolution equations of the kinematical and dynamical variables and the existence of 2d hypersurfaces of constant curvature at $t =$const and $r =$const. As a result the formalism presented here can be used, in principle, to analyze light propagation and structure formation within a FLRW background.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we give the evolution and constraint (spatial derivatives) equations of the associated kinematical and dynamical quantities. A further 1+2 splitting is applied in section 3, revealing the role of the corresponding kinematical variables of the spacelike congruences in the dynamics. Using these results,

in section 4, we define the *rate of change or the expansion rate of the 2d surface area* and the *effective gravitational mass* of the shells of dust which is constituted of the total energy density ρ of the matter fluid and the contribution from the free gravitational field (encoded in the electric part of the Weyl tensor). For the quasi-spherical case, due to the constant curvature feature of the hypersurface t, r =constant, we show that there exists a $SO(2)$ group of *Intrinsic Killing Vector Fields* (IKVFs). We conclude in section 5 and discuss the notions of Apparent Horizons (AH) and Absolute Apparent Horizons (AAH) of the Szekeres models [12] by giving a covariant definition of them.

Throughout this paper, the following conventions have been used: the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ denotes the spacetime manifold endowed with a Lorentzian metric of signature $(-,+,+,+)$, spacetime indices are denoted by lower case Latin letters $a, b, ... = 0, 1, 2, 3$, spacelike eigenvalue indices are denoted by lower case Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, ... = 1, 2, 3$ and we have used geometrised units such that $8\pi G = 1 = c$.

2. Description of the Szekeres models

The average velocity of the matter in the Universe is identified with a unit timelike vector field u^a ($u^a u_a = -1$) tangent to the congruence of worldlines of the fundamental (preferred) observers. The Einstein's Field Equations (EFEs) for a pressureless perfect fluid can be expressed in terms of the Ricci tensor as

$$R_{ab} = \frac{\rho}{2}\left(u_a u_b + h_{ab}\right) + \Lambda g_{ab} \tag{2.1}$$

where ρ is the energy density of the matter fluid as measured by the comoving observers u^a, Λ is the cosmological constant and $h_{ab} \equiv g_{ab} + u_a u_b$ is the projection tensor normally to u^a.

The matter velocity u^a is geodesic (because of the vanishing of the pressure) and we also assume that is irrotational (for an interesting treatment of Szekeres' models with respect of tilted observers see [13]). In the case of a *vanishing cosmological constant*, the EFEs can be written in terms of the kinematical quantities of the geodesic and irrotational timelike congruence by using the Ricci identities

$$2u_{a;[bc]} = R_{dabc}u^d. \tag{2.2}$$

Projecting equation (2.2) along the u^a we obtain the evolution equations [14]

$$\dot{H} = -H^2 - \frac{1}{3}\sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab} - \frac{1}{6}\rho \tag{2.3}$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_{ab} = -2H\sigma_{ab} - \sigma_a{}^c\sigma_{cb} - E_{ab} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{cd}\sigma^{cd}\right)h_{ab} \tag{2.4}$$

for the overall volume expansion (or Hubble parameter) rate $3H = \theta = u_{a;b}h^{ab}$ and the shear tensor $\sigma_{ab} = h_a{}^k h_b{}^l\left[u_{(k;l)} - \frac{\theta}{3}h_{kl}\right]$ which describes the rate of distortion of the rest space of u^a in different directions (i.e. the change of its shape). On the other hand projecting normally to u^a, equation (2.2) leads to the *constraint* equations (i.e. the spatial

divergence and curl equations or equivalently the fully projected derivatives normal to the timelike congruence u^a)

$$h_{ac}\sigma^{kc}{}_{;k} = 2h_a{}^k H_{;k} \tag{2.5}$$

$$h^{l(a}\epsilon^{b)mns}\sigma_{nl;m}u_s = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

where ϵ^{abcd} is the 4-dimensional volume element and a dot denotes covariant differentiation along the direction of the vector field u^a.

In order to obtain a closed set of equations we use the Bianchi identities

$$R_{ab[cd;e]} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The associated u^a and $h_{ab}-$projections of (2.7) give the energy and momentum conservation equations plus two evolution and two constraints equations for the electric and magnetic part of the Weyl tensor which for the case of the Szekeres models take the form (we recall that the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor vanishes [15])

$$\dot{E}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\rho\sigma_{ab} - 3HE_{ab} + 3E_{(a}{}^c\sigma_{b)c} - \left(E_{cd}\sigma^{cd}\right)h_{ab} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\dot{\rho} = -3\rho H \tag{2.9}$$

$$h_{ac}E^{kc}{}_{;k} = \frac{1}{3}h_a{}^k\rho_{;k} \tag{2.10}$$

$$h^{l(a}\epsilon^{b)mns}E_{nl;m}u_s = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

$$\epsilon^{abcd}E_{bk}\sigma_c{}^k u_d = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

In the above system of equations (2.3)-(2.4) and (2.8)-(2.12) we observe that the spatial divergence and curl equations (2.5)-(2.6) and (2.10)-(2.11) have been decoupled from the evolution equations of the kinematical and dynamical variables. As a result, the evolution of Szekeres models is completely independent from the spatial variations of the physical variables and is described by a set of first-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs).

It is convenient to employ a set of three mutually orthogonal and unit spacelike vector fields $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ which can be uniquely chosen as *eigenvectors* of the shear tensor. Equation (2.12) implies that the shear σ_{ab} and the electric part E_{ab} tensors *commute* hence they share the eigenframe $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$. We write

$$E_{ab} = E_1 x_a x_b + E_2 y_a y_b + E_3 z_a z_b \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sigma_{ab} = \sigma_1 x_a x_b + \sigma_2 y_a y_b + \sigma_3 z_a z_b \tag{2.14}$$

where E_α, σ_α are the associated eigenvalues satisfying the trace-free conditions

$$\sum_\alpha E_\alpha = \sum_\alpha \sigma_\alpha = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Furthermore it has been shown that each of $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ is hypersurface orthogonal [15] i.e.

$$x_{[a}x_{b;c]} = y_{[a}y_{b;c]} = z_{[a}z_{b;c]} = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Due to the hypersurface property of the timelike and spacelike vector fields, each pair $\{u^a, x^a\}$, $\{u^a, y^a\}$, $\{u^a, z^a\}$ generates a 2-dimensional integrable submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ represented by the 2-form e.g. $\mathbf{F}_x = \mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}$. It turns out that at each point the spacetime manifold admits orthogonal 2-surfaces spanned by the vectors $\{y^a, z^a\}$ with a corresponding surface element the dual 2-form $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_x$ (or equivalently the simple bivector $\mathbf{C}_x = \mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}$).

It should be emphasized that the above considerations hold, in general, for homogeneous and inhomogeneous Petrov type I silent models. However, in the present work we are interested in spatially inhomogeneous setups in which case only the Petrov type D subclass of models exists [11] and is described by the Szekeres family of solutions satisfying

$$E_2 = E_3 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_2 = \sigma_3. \tag{2.17}$$

In this case, local Cartesian coordinates can be found such that the metric can be written [6]

$$ds^2 = g_{ab}dx^a dx^b = -dt^2 + e^{2A}dr^2 + e^{2B}\left(dy^2 + dz^2\right) \tag{2.18}$$

where the smooth functions $A(t, r, y, z)$, $B(t, r, y, z)$ satify

$$e^A = \frac{S_{,r} - S\left(\ln E\right)_{,r}}{\sqrt{f + \epsilon}}, \quad e^B = \frac{S}{E}. \tag{2.19}$$

The value of $\epsilon = 1, -1, 0$ describes the topology of the 2d hypersurface $\mathcal{X}$ (t =const. r =const. i.e. the distribution $\mathbf{F}_x = \mathbf{0}$), the function $S(t, r)$ is *isotropic* and $E(r, y, z)$ represents the 2d anisotropy of $\mathcal{X}$

$$E = A(r)\left(y^2 + z^2\right) + B(r)y + C(r)z + D(r) \tag{2.20}$$

where $A(r), B(r), C(r)$ and $D(r)$ are arbitrary functions of r subjected to the algebraic constraint

$$4AD - B^2 - C^2 = \epsilon. \tag{2.21}$$

The relation (2.21) implies that the "anisotropy" function $E(r, y, z)$ can be written in the following useful form [12]

$$E = \frac{1}{4A(r)}\left\{\left[\frac{y - Y(r)}{1/2A(r)}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{z - Z(r)}{1/2A(r)}\right]^2 + \epsilon\right\} \tag{2.22}$$

where $Y(r) = -B/2A$ and $Z(r) = -C/2A$.

As we shall see in section 4, the local form (2.18), (2.22) of the Szekeres spacetime manifests the constancy of the curvature of the distribution $\mathcal{X}$ which in turn restricts the coordinate dependence of several crucial kinematical and dynamical quantities. In addition, carries information regarding the geometrical and physical significance of each of the metric functions and has the additional advantage to exhibit in a transparent and natural way, important subfamilies with higher degree of symmetries. For example, the

spherically/hyperbolic/plane (LRS) symmetric model follows from the choice $B = C = 0$ and $A = \epsilon D = 1/2$ ($\epsilon \neq 0$), $A = 0$ ($\epsilon = 0$).

The Szekeres models fall into two classes (I and II) depending on the radial dependence of the metric functions. Apart from (2.18), several other forms of the metric have been used in the literature describing e.g. quasi-spherical collapsing clouds of dust, generalizations of the Kantowski-Sachs LRS cosmological models or to emphasize similarities of the dynamics of the Szekeres models with the linear perturbations of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker model [9, 16, 17]. Because we are interested to use Szekeres models in order to analyze the effect of local inhomogeneities in the cosmological observations it is also convenient to choose (pseudo-)spherical coordinates to express the local form of the metric [18]. In this case we get

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{E^2\left[\left(SE^{-1}\right)_{,r}\right]^2}{f+\epsilon}dr^2 + \frac{S^2}{E^2}\left(d\theta^2 + \Sigma^2 d\phi^2\right). \tag{2.23}$$

The function $E(r,\theta,\phi)$ reads

$$E = (A - \epsilon D)\Lambda(\epsilon,\theta) + B\Sigma(\epsilon,\theta)\cos\phi + C\Sigma(\epsilon,\theta)\sin\phi + \epsilon^2(A + \epsilon D)$$

where $\Sigma(\epsilon,\theta) = (\sin\theta, \sinh\theta, \theta)$, $\Lambda(\epsilon,\theta) = (\cos\theta, \cosh\theta, \theta^2)$ for $\epsilon = 1, -1, 0$ respectively.

3. 1+1+2 decomposition

The constraint equations (2.5), (2.6), (2.10) and (2.11) can be conveniently reformulated *in terms of the corresponding kinematical quantities of the spacelike congruences* generated from each of the spacelike vector fields $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$. In particular, it has been shown that the first derivatives of the spacelike eigenvector fields are decomposed as [11]

$$x_{a;b} = \mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mathcal{E}_x}{2}p_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) + (x_a)^* x_b \tag{3.1}$$

$$y_{a;b} = \mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{y}) + \frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2}p_{ab}(\mathbf{y}) + y'_a y_b \tag{3.2}$$

$$z_{a;b} = \mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2}p_{ab}(\mathbf{z}) + \tilde{z}_a z_b \tag{3.3}$$

where ‡ [19]

$$\mathcal{E}_x = x_{a;b}p^{ab}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{E}_y = y_{a;b}p^{ab}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathcal{E}_z = z_{a;b}p^{ab}(\mathbf{z}) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha\left(y_a y_b - z_a z_b\right), \quad \mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{y}) = \beta\left(z_a z_b - x_a x_b\right)$$
$$\mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{z}) = \gamma\left(x_a x_b - y_a y_b\right) \tag{3.5}$$

‡ In [20] the 1+1+2 covariant formalism has been exploited in studying covariant perturbations of a Schwarzschild black hole using a completely different notation of the various quantities.

are the rates of the 2-dimensional *surface area spatial expansion* and of the (traceless) shear tensor of the spacelike congruences respectively and we have used the notation

$$(K_{a\ldots})^{*} \equiv K_{a\ldots;k}x^{k}, \quad K'_{a\ldots} \equiv K_{a\ldots;k}y^{k}, \quad (K_{a\ldots})^{\tilde{}} \equiv K_{a\ldots;k}z^{k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using the Ricci identity (2.2) it is straightforward to show the following *commutation relations* for every scalar quantity S

$$\left(\overset{*}{S}\right)^{\cdot} = \left(\dot{S}\right)^{*} - \overset{*}{S}\left(\sigma_1 + H\right) \tag{3.7}$$

$$\left(S'\right)^{\cdot} = \left(\dot{S}\right)' - S'\left(\sigma_2 + H\right) \tag{3.8}$$

$$\left(\tilde{S}\right)^{\cdot} = \left(\dot{S}\right)^{\tilde{}} - \tilde{S}\left(\sigma_3 + H\right). \tag{3.9}$$

The projection tensors $p_{ab}(\mathbf{e})$ associated with $\mathbf{e} = \{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ are given by

$$p_{ab}(\mathbf{e}) \equiv g_{ab} + u_a u_b - e_a e_b = h_{ab} - e_a e_b \tag{3.10}$$

and are identified with the corresponding metrics of the 2-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{X}$ (*screen spaces*) orthogonal to each vector field of the pairs $\{u^a, x^a\}$, $\{u^a, y^a\}$, $\{u^a, z^a\}$ at any spacetime event. Each of the screen spaces is an assemply of 2-surfaces which are different with each other. However, because of the vanishing of the spatial twist and of the Greenberg vector, *every collection of those 2-spaces (normal to $\{u^a, e^a\}$) mesh together to generate a single surface $\mathcal{X}$ which is a submanifold of the observers' instantaneous rest spaces.*

As we have mentioned above, we shall restrict our considerations to the Szekeres models (i.e. Spatially Inhomogeneous Irrotational Silent (SIIS) models of Petrov type D) for which $\mathcal{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 = \alpha$ [11]. The evolution equations (2.4), (2.8), (2.9) and the divergence equations (2.5), (2.10) are written in the form

$$\dot{H} = -H^2 - 2\sigma_3^2 - \frac{1}{6}\rho \tag{3.11}$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_3 = -2H\sigma_3 + (\sigma_3)^2 - E_3 \tag{3.12}$$

$$\dot{E}_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\rho\sigma_3 - 3\left(H + \sigma_3\right)E_3 \tag{3.13}$$

$$\dot{\rho} = -\rho\theta \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho)^{*} &= -3\left[2\left(E_3\right)^{*} + 3E_3\mathcal{E}_x\right] \\ (H)^{*} &= -\frac{1}{2}\left[2\left(\sigma_3\right)^{*} + 3\sigma_3\mathcal{E}_x\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$$\rho' = 6E_3\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right), \quad \tilde{\rho} = 6E_3\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right) \tag{3.16}$$

$$H' = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right)\sigma_3, \quad \tilde{H} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right)\sigma_3. \tag{3.17}$$

Projecting (2.6) and (2.11) along $y^a z^b$, $z^a x^b$ and $x^a y^b$, the shear and electric part constraints can be expressed as spatial variations of the corresponding eigenvalues along the individual spacelike curve

$$\sigma_3' = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right)\sigma_3, \quad (\sigma_3)^{\cdot} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right)\sigma_3 \tag{3.18}$$

$$E_3' = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right)E_3, \quad (E_3)^{\cdot} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right)E_3 \tag{3.19}$$

The above set of equations must be augmented with the Ricci identity and the Jacobi identities for the orthonormal triad $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$. In particular, the u^a-projected Ricci identity gives evolution equations of the kinematical quantities of the spacelike congruences [11]

$$(\mathcal{E}_x)^{\cdot} = -\mathcal{E}_x(\sigma_3 + H) \tag{3.20}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} + \beta\right)^{\cdot} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} + \beta\right)(\sigma_3 + H) \tag{3.21}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right)^{\cdot} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right)(\sigma_1 + H) \tag{3.22}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right)^{\cdot} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right)(\sigma_1 + H) \tag{3.23}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} - \gamma\right)^{\cdot} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} - \gamma\right)(\sigma_3 + H)\,. \tag{3.24}$$

The constraint equations arise from the spatial projections of the trace part of the Ricci identity of each spacelike vector field. We note that an appropriate linear combination of the constraint equation leads to the corresponding Jacobi identities or, equivalently, to the twist-free property $\mathcal{R} = 0$ of the spacelike congruences

$$(\mathcal{E}_x)' = 0, \quad (\mathcal{E}_x)^{\cdot} = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} + \beta\right)^{*} = -\beta\mathcal{E}_x, \quad \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right)^{\cdot} = 2\beta\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right) \tag{3.26}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} - \gamma\right)^{*} = \gamma\mathcal{E}_x, \quad \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma\right)' = -2\gamma\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta\right). \tag{3.27}$$

Furthermore, from the $h_a{}^k-$projected Ricci identity for the vector fields $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ we obtain spatial propagation equations of the spacelike expansion and shear rates [11]. This set of equations completely characterizes the dynamics of the Szekeres models in terms of the kinematical quantities of the timelike and spacelike congruences. Nevertheless, as we shall see in the next section, a more detailed analysis of the above set of equations reveals a number of "hidden" properties of the Szekeres models of Petrov type D with sound geometrical and physical usefulness.

4. Geometrical and dynamical covariant analysis

The fact that the dust fluid velocity is geodesic and irrotational, implies that the hypersurfaces t =const. form an integrable submanifold $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with metric h_{ab} and extrinsic curvature $\Theta_{ab} = u_{(a;b)}$. Then Gauss equation implies

$$ {}^3R_{abcd} = h_a{}^i h_b{}^j h_c{}^k h_d{}^l R_{ijkl} + 2\Theta_{a[d}\Theta_{c]b} \tag{4.1} $$

i.e. the 3-dimensional curvature tensor ${}^3R_{abcd}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is expressed in terms of the projected curvature tensor of the spacetime plus extrinsic curvature corrections. The 3-dimensional curvature tensor is completely determined by the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of the 3-spaces $\mathcal{S}$ which, by virtue of (4.1), are given by

$$ {}^3R_{ab} = E_{ab} - H\sigma_{ab} + \sigma_a{}^k\sigma_{kb} + \frac{2}{3}\left(\rho - 3H^2\right)h_{ab} \tag{4.2} $$

$$ {}^3R = 2\left[\rho + 3\left(\sigma_3^2 - H^2\right)\right]. \tag{4.3} $$

Essentially the last relation represents the generalized Friedmann equation in the Szekeres models and as we shall see below, it coincides with the equation of motion of the sheets constituting the clouds of dust.

An importance consequence of the equations (4.2)-(4.3) is the condition

$$ (H + \sigma_3)^2 = 2\left(\frac{\rho}{6} + E_3\right). \tag{4.4} $$

In this case, the 3-dimensional Ricci tensor is written

$$ {}^3R_{ab} = \frac{{}^3R}{4}\left(x_a x_b + h_{ab}\right). \tag{4.5} $$

Taking the spatial divergence of equation (4.5) and using the $h_a{}^i h^{jk}\,{}^3R_{ij;k} = \frac{1}{2}h_a{}^i\,{}^3R_{;i}$ we obtain

$$ {}^3R\mathcal{E}_x = 0. \tag{4.6} $$

Equation (4.6) means that when equation (4.4) holds then either *the spatial slices are flat* i.e. ${}^3R_{ab} = 0$ ($\Rightarrow E_3 = (\sigma_3 + H)\sigma_3$) or there exists a *spacelike KVF parallel* to the eigenvector x^a. Following the notation of [17] these cases correspond to the Szekeres models of class I and II respectively with $k = 0$. It can be seen that in class I models ($\mathcal{E}_x \neq 0$) the condition (4.4) is necessary and sufficient for the flatness of the 3-space $\mathcal{S}$ i.e. the constant k essentially controls the curvature of $\mathcal{S}$.

Because the Szekeres models represent a collapsing/expanding dust matter configuration, it will be helpful to investigate the influence of the matter content into the curvature of the 2-dimensional screen space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$. This can be achieved following a similar approach as before. The hypersurface t =const. and r =const. is an integrable submanifold of $\mathcal{S}$ since the associated spacelike vorticity tensor and the Greenberg vector vanish [11]. In particular, the corresponding Gauss equation for the submanifolds $\mathcal{S}$ reads

$$ {}^2R_{abcd} = p_a{}^i p_b{}^j p_c{}^k p_d{}^l\ {}^3R_{ijkl} + 2K_{a[c}K_{d]b} \tag{4.7} $$

where $K_{ab} = p_a{}^i p_b{}^j x_{(i;j)} \equiv \bar{\nabla}_{(b} x_{a)}$ is the extrinsic curvature, "$\bar{\nabla}_a$" denotes the proper covariant derivative and ${}^2R_{abcd}$ is the curvature tensor of the screen space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$ with metric $p_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) = h_{ab} - x_a x_b$. Contracting twice equation (4.7) and using (4.3) we obtain

$$ {}^2R = 4\left(\frac{\rho}{6} + E_3\right) - 2\left(H + \sigma_3\right)^2 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_x^2}{2} \tag{4.8}$$

which shows how the scalar curvature of the 2-space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$ is affected by the kinematics and the dynamical content of the spacetime. Moreover, the condition (4.4) indicates that the curvature of the 2-dimensional space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$ is completely determined by the spacelike expansion $\mathcal{E}_x$. Hence, in class II models equation (4.4) is the necessary and sufficient condition for ${}^2R = 0$ i.e. the curvature of the space is determined by the constant k (equal to ϵ). It should be noted that equation (4.8) is the result of the combined *(1+2)-splitting* of (4.2) and (4.3) therefore these equations are in fact equivalent.

Equation (4.8) suggests that one must analyze the role of the quantities

$$ w_1 \equiv H + \sigma_3, \qquad w_2 \equiv \frac{\rho}{6} + E_3 \tag{4.9}$$

in manufacturing the Szekeres models §.

Let us consider first the quantity w_1 and observe that can be written as

$$ w_1 = H + \sigma_3 = \frac{1}{2} u_{a;b} p^{ab} \tag{4.10}$$

i.e. w_1 *is the trace of the first derivatives of the four-velocity projected in the screen space* $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$. This implies that, in complete analogy with the meaning of H, the quantity w_1 represents the *rate of change or the expansion rate of the surface area* of the 2-space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$. We shall refer to it as *area expansion*. We point out that, although Szekeres models are, in general, quasi-symmetric (i.e. no isotropic 2-dimensional sections exist), the surface area expands *isotropically* (due to equations (3.17)-(3.18))

$$ (w_1)' = (w_1)^{\tilde{}} = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

It would be convenient to define an *average length scale* ℓ according to

$$ w_1 \equiv \frac{\dot{\ell}}{\ell}. \tag{4.12}$$

We note that the intuitive definition (4.12) is dictated directly from (3.15).

Taking into account the above definitions, the length ℓ completely determines the surface area of $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$ which scales $\sim \ell^2$ as the 2-spaces $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$ evolve. The conditions of isotropy (4.11) allow us to define the scalar

$$ \frac{\dot{\ell}}{\ell} = \frac{\dot{S}}{S} \tag{4.13}$$

where $S' = \tilde{S} = 0$ such that the average length can be written as $\ell = S \cdot N$ with $\dot{N} = 0$. Therefore the physical interpretation of S is that it represents a *generalized scale factor* and corresponds, for each t =const. and r =const., to the *length scale of the dust cloud*

§ See also [21] where the quasi-spherical Szekeres model is studied using "quasi-local" invariants.

(or the *radius shell* in the case ${}^2R(t=\text{const.}, r=\text{const.}) > 0$). Similarly, the spatial $(x-)$change of ℓ is controlled by the expansion rate of the spacelike congruence generated by the vector filed x^a

$$\mathcal{E}_x = 2\frac{(\ell)^*}{\ell}. \tag{4.14}$$

Consequently, for the Szekeres models that do not admit a spacelike KVF parallel to x^a, the condition $\mathcal{E}_x = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\ell)^* = 0$ implies that the curves of the spacelike congruence converge to a single curve. Physically this means that different dust regions collide and stick together in a single sheet allowing the *formation of a crossing singularity*. This situation is similar to the generation of a shell-crossing singularity in the case of the Locally Rotationally Symmetric (LRS) Spatially Inhomogeneous Irrotational Silent models (LT solution corresponds to the positive 2d curvature subclass) [22].

On the other hand, it can be easily seen that the quantity w_2 is defined as the sum of the total energy density ρ of the dust fluid plus the contribution from the free gravitational field (encoded in the eigenvalue E_3 of the electric part of the Weyl tensor) and shares the same "isotropic" property with w_1

$$(w_2)' = (w_2)\tilde{} = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Using the first of (3.15) and the relation (4.14) we get

$$\left(\frac{\rho}{6}\right)^* \ell^3 + \left(E_3\ell^3\right)^* = 0 \Rightarrow (w_2)^* = \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{6} + E_3\right)\ell^3\right]^* = \frac{\rho\ell^2\,(\ell)^*}{2}. \tag{4.16}$$

Therefore we can rewrite w_2 in the following useful form

$$w_2 \equiv \frac{\rho}{6} + E_3 = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}}}{\ell^3} \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}})^* = \frac{\rho\ell^2\,(\ell)^*}{2} \tag{4.18}$$

is interpreted as the *effective gravitational mass* (EGM) contained in the dust cloud with length scale ℓ.

We note that this interpretation is strictly correct only in the quasi-spherical case ${}^2R(t=\text{const.}, r=\text{const.}) > 0$ however, for the sake of simplicity, we shall continue to refer it as EGM. It should be emphasized that equations (4.11), (4.15) and (4.8) show that ${}^2R$ is constant along the y^a, z^a-directions therefore the screen space is *of constant curvature* and we refer Szekeres models as *quasi-symmetrical*.

Moreover, the conservation of the EGM hold during the evolution *irrespective* the curvature of the 2-space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})$. This can be shown by noticing that equations (3.13) and (3.14) imply $\dot{w}_2 = -3w_1w_2$ therefore propagation of (4.17) and use of (4.12) gives

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}})\dot{} = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

With these identifications, equation (4.8) is written

$$\left(\dot{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{2\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}}}{\ell} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_x^2 - 2\ {}^2R}{4}\ell^2 \tag{4.20}$$

and represents the *equation of motion* (the *Hamiltonian*) of the sheets of the dust matter configuration.

In terms of the generalized scale factor S and the arbitrary scalar $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}} N^{-3}$ ($(\mathcal{M}_1)^{\bullet} = \mathcal{M}_1' = (\mathcal{M}_1)\tilde{} = 0$) the last equation is expressed as

$$\left(\dot{S}\right)^2 = \frac{2\mathcal{M}_1}{S} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_x^2 - 2\ {}^2R}{4} S^2. \tag{4.21}$$

We observe that the evolution of the average length scale of the dust cloud S depends on the function $\mathcal{M}_1$, the *effective curvature term* and an arbitrary function S_0 ($\dot{S}_0 = S_0' = \tilde{S}_0 = 0$) which corresponds to the local time of the big bang ($S = 0$). On the other hand any density profile within the Szekeres models is atribbuted to the arbitrary function $\mathcal{M}_1$ and the contribution of the free gravitational field E_3 satisfying equation (3.19).

At this point some comments regarding the coordinate representation of the above considerations are in order. We are interested only in the case of the quasi-spherical case i.e. ${}^2R > 0$. The local form of the eigenvectors of the shear and electric part tensors in the spherical coordinate chart $\{t, r, \theta, \phi\}$ are $x^a = b^{-1}\delta^a_r$, $y^a = S^{-1}E\delta^a_\theta$, $z^a = S^{-1}E\left(\sin\theta\right)^{-1}\delta^a_\phi$ and we have set $b = E\left(S/E\right)_{,r}\left(f+\epsilon\right)^{-1/2}$. A trivial computation gives

$$\sigma_1 + H = -2\sigma_3 + H = \frac{b_{,t}}{b}, \quad \sigma_3 + H = \frac{S_{,t}}{S} \tag{4.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_x &= \frac{2\sqrt{f+\epsilon}}{S}, \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} + \beta = \frac{E}{S}\left(\ln\frac{\sin\theta}{E}\right)_{,\theta} = \frac{\ell'}{\ell}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{2} - \beta &= \frac{E}{S}\frac{b_{,\theta}}{b} = \frac{b'}{b} \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} + \gamma &= \frac{E}{S\sin\theta}\frac{b_{,\phi}}{b} = \frac{\tilde{b}}{b}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{E}_z}{2} - \gamma &= -\frac{E_{,\phi}}{S\sin\theta} = \frac{\tilde{\ell}}{\ell}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

with $\ell = S\cdot E^{-1}\sin\theta$ (note that $N = E^{-1}\sin\theta$). Interestingly, the linear combination of the $y, z-$kinematical variables has also a similar geometrical interpretation, representing the spatial variation of the average length scale ℓ of the screen space and the scale $\hat{\ell} = b$ along the y^a, z^b curves.

The energy density of the dust fluid follows from equation (4.18) (note that $\mathcal{M}_1(r)$ is now a function of the radial coordinate)

$$\rho = \frac{2}{S^3}\frac{\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right)_{,r} + 3\mathcal{M}_1 E_{,r}}{\left(\ln E^{-1}S\right)_{,r}}. \tag{4.25}$$

The generalized Friedmann equation (4.21) takes the familiar coordinate form [12]

$$\left(\dot{S}\right)^2 = \frac{2\mathcal{M}_1}{S} + f \tag{4.26}$$

for all topologies of the 2-dimensional screen space. As a result, the function $f(r)$ represents an effective curvature term and can be also interpreted as part of the initial radial velocity of the dust fluid.

We conclude this section by noticing that although the Szekeres family of models does not have, in general, Killing Vector Fields (KVFs), however does admit a 3-dimensional set of *intrinsic symmetries* (in the spirit e.g. of [23]). As we have seen in the previous section, the projection tensor p_{ab} corresponds to the metric of $\mathcal{X}$ with associated covariant derivative "$\bar{\nabla}_a$". For the quasi-spherical class, the screen space has constant and positive curvature hence there exists a $SO(2)$ group of *Intrinsic KVFs* (IKVFs). Using the coordinate form (2.18), (2.22) of the spacetime metric, we can verify that the vector fields

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = [z - Z(r)]\,\partial_y - [y - Y(r)]\,\partial_z \tag{4.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_2 = &\left\{1 + 4A^2\,[y - Y(r)]^2 - 4A^2\,[z - Z(r)]^2\right\}\partial_y + \\ &+ 8A^2\,[y - Y(r)]\,[z - Z(r)]\,\partial_z\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_3 = &\,8A^2\,[y - Y(r)]\,[z - Z(r)]\,\partial_y + \\ &+ \left\{1 + 4A^2\,[z - Z(r)]^2 - 4A^2\,[y - Y(r)]^2\right\}\partial_y\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

satisfy $\bar{\nabla}_{(b}X_{(\alpha)a)} = 0$ where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. We note that the "isotropy" of the quantities w_1, w_2 and $\mathcal{E}_x$ is the direct consequence of the existence of the IKVFs.

5. Discussion

Inhomogeneous models can be seen as exact "perturbations", to first or higher order, of the standard cosmological model therefore the analysis of their geometry and dynamics will enlight many open questions regarding the effect of density fluctuations in the evolution of the universe. With respect to FLRW model, their richer variety of matter density profiles that we can accomodate, they could also impose further restrictions leading to a better fine tunning of the observational parameters [24].

Towards to this goal, a covariant description of the significant class of inhomogeneous Szekeres solutions has been presented using the 1+1+2 splitting of the spacetime. We have shown how the geometry and the dynamics of the Szekeres models are described in terms of the kinematical quantities of the spacelike congruences. It was possible to identify an effective gravitational mass constituted of the matter density of the dust fluid and the contribution of the free gravitational field represented by the electric part of the Weyl tensor.

We note that several issues regarding the topology of the Szekeres models can also be succesively dealed using the results of the present paper. For example the existence of Apparent Horizons (AH) [25] and Absolute Apparent Horizons (AAH) [26] has been considered within the Szekeres models and their differences are illustrated. In the case

of the former and for the quasi-spherical subclass, an AH is located at $S = 2M$. Indeed let us consider the non-geodesic‖ null radial vector field $n^a = u^a - x^a$ which represents the direction of outgoing null curves. Expressing their distortion in terms of the u^a, x^a-variables for an observer in the screen space we get

$$p_a{}^i p_b{}^j n_{i;j} = p_a{}^i p_b{}^j \sigma_{ij} + H p_{ab} - \frac{\mathcal{E}_x}{2} p_{ab}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{5.1}$$

The null rays converge to a single curve when the induced expansion rate of their surface area vanishes which implies that

$$p^{ab} n_{a;b} = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_3 + H - \frac{\mathcal{E}_x}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow (\sigma_3 + H)^2 = \frac{\mathcal{E}_x^2}{4}. \tag{5.2}$$

From equation (4.21) or equivalently (4.8) we deduce that the surface $2\mathcal{M}_1 = S$ corresponds to the AH of the Szekeres models. On the other hand for an AAH the null vector $n^a = \sqrt{3}u^a \pm x^a + y^a + z^a$ is "almost" radial in the sense of [26] and in our approach must satisfy $p^{ab} n_{a;b} = 0$.

On the other hand in order to explore the possibility of having accelerating expansion in the SIIS models, we cannot use the standard definition for the expansion H since, the cosmological expansion in inhomogeneous cosmologies depends on the *direction* of observation and the Hubble parameter H corresponds to an average of the expansion rates and the variation in different directions is hidden. Therefore, it seems more natural to study and analyze the influence of the graviational field on the light beams that come from various directions and passing through certain fluid configurations. The luminosity distance d_L of an isotropic source (S) is defined through the relation

$$d_L = (1+z)\frac{\sqrt{A_0}}{\sqrt{\Omega_s}} \tag{5.3}$$

where A_0 is the observed cross-section of the light beam and Ω_s is the solid angle formed from the source to the position of the observer (O). The above expression allows the determination of the luminosity distance d_L as a function of the redshift z through the knowledge of A_0. The latter can be determined by using the Sachs optical equations [27]. These describe the evolution of the expansion and shear of the beam along its null trajectory in a similar way as in the case of the timelike and spacelike congruences. We then use them in order to study light propagation in the SIIS models and qualitatively estimate the induced increase of the luminosity distance relative to the FLRW background thus generalizing the corresponding results for the LTB models.

In general geometries, the observed cosmological redshift z is covariantly defined by the differential relation [28]

$$d\ln(1+z) = -d\ln(k^a u_a) \tag{5.4}$$

‖ As we easily verify using the covariant formalism of the present paper the most general Szekeres model does not permit radial null geodesics [18] which is a reminiscence of their non-symmetric structure.

where $k^a(\upsilon)$ is a *null* and *geodesic* vector tangent to the congruence of null curves $x^a(\upsilon)$ with affine parameter υ, representing the paths of the light rays originating from S and J_a is a *spacelike* vector field pointing in the direction of the observed light beam

$$k^a \equiv \frac{dx^a}{d\upsilon} = \left[k^0 u^a + J^a\right], \quad k^0 \equiv -k^a u_a \tag{5.5}$$

$$k^a k_a = 0, \quad k_{a;b}k^b = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

where the vector field J^a is expressed as linear combination of the eigen-basis $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$

$$J^a = \lambda_1 x^a + \lambda_2 y^a + \lambda_3 z^a \tag{5.7}$$

and the dimensionless parameters λ_α satisfy the orthonormality condition

$$\sum_\alpha (\lambda_\alpha)^2 = \left(k^0\right)^2. \tag{5.8}$$

Essentially, the parameters λ_α correspond to the *directional cosines* of the null geodesics [29] relative to the orthonormal triad $\{x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ which is parallel-propagated [11] along the world-line of the four-velocity u^a of the dust fluid.

As a result the specific geometrical/dynamical structure and the $1+1+2$ covariant analysis of the SIIS models presented in this paper, provides a useful and an appropriate framework to study the effect of small or large inhomogeneities in the cosmological expansion. This will be the subject of a forthcoming work.

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], Astron. J. **116** (1998) 1009 [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201]; Astrophys. J. **607** (2004) 665 [arXiv:astro-ph/0402512];
S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **517** (1999) 565 [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133].

[2] W. J. Percival *et al.* [The 2dFGRS Collaboration], Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **327** (2001) 1297 [arXiv:astro-ph/0105252];
J. L. Sievers *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **591** (2003) 599 [arXiv:astro-ph/0205387].

[3] D. N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** (2003) 175 [arXiv:astro-ph/0302209].

[4] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **571** (2014) A16 [arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].

[5] K. Bolejko, M. N. Celerier and A. Krasinski, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 164002 [arXiv:1102.1449 [astro-ph.CO]].

[6] P. Szekeres, Commun. Math. Phys. **41** (1975) 55.

[7] Krasiński A, *Inhomogeneous cosmological models*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997)

[8] J. Barrow and J. Stein-Schabes, *Inhomogeneous cosmologies with cosmological constant*, 1984 Phys. Lett. A. **103** 315-317

[9] W. B. Bonnor, Comm. Math. Phys. **51** (1976) 191.

[10] W. B. Bonnor, A. H. Sulaiman and N. Tomimura, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **8** (1977) 549.

[11] P. S. Apostolopoulos and J. Carot, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22** (2007) 1983 [gr-qc/0605130].

[12] C. Hellaby and A. Krasinski, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 084011 [arXiv:gr-qc/0206052].

[13] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. Ibanez and J. Carot, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 044003 [arXiv:1207.2259 [gr-qc]].

[14] G. F. R. Ellis and H. van Elst, NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser. C. Math. Phys. Sci. **541** (1999) 1 [arXiv:gr-qc/9812046].

[15] A. Barnes and R. R. Rowlingson, Class. Quantum Grav. **6** (1989) 949.
[16] W. B. Bonnor and N. Tomimura, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **175** (1976) 85.
[17] S. W. Goode and J. Wainwright, Phys. Rev. D **26** (1982) 3315.
[18] B. C. Nolan and U. Debnath, Phys. Rev. D. **76** (2007) 104046 arXiv:0709.3152 [gr-qc].
[19] P. J. Greenberg, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **30** (1970) 128; M. Tsamparlis and D. P. Mason, J. Math. Phys. **24** (1983) 1577.
[20] C. A. Clarkson and R. K. Barrett, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 3855 [gr-qc/0209051].
[21] R. A. Sussman and I. Delgado Gaspar, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.8, 083533 [arXiv:1508.03127 [gr-qc]].
[22] R. A. Sussman, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** (2013) 235001 [arXiv:1305.3683 [gr-qc]].
[23] C. B. Collins and D. A. Szafron, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2347.
[24] K. Bolejko, Astron. Astrophys. **525** (2011) A49 [arXiv:1006.3348 [astro-ph.CO]].
[25] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of spacetime* (Cambridge University Press, 1973 Cambridge).
[26] A. Krasinski and K. Bolejko, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 124016 [arXiv:1202.5970 [gr-qc]].
[27] R. K. Sachs, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **264** (1961) 309.
[28] J. Kristian and R. K. Sachs, Astrophys. J. **143** (1966) 379.
[29] G. F. R. Ellis, S. D. Nel, R. Maartens, W. R. Stoeger and A. P. Whitman, Phys. Reports **124** (1985) 315.